

REMARKS

The subject application as examined contained claims 1-27.

In the Office Action dated January 4, 2005, claims 8 and 15-27 were allowed.

Also, in the Office Action, claims 1-7 and 9-14 were rejected over cited art and claims 1-3, 8-17 and 22-27 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. In view of this amendment, Applicants submit that the Examiner's grounds for rejection have been overcome.

Specifically, in this Amendment "B", applicants have canceled all of the rejected claims, in their entirety. In addition, a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) is being submitted herewith to overcome the double patenting rejection of claims 1-3, 8-17 and 22-27 as being unpatentable over claim 1 of parent U.S. Patent No. 6,656,882 to Tijsma et al.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application containing claims 8, 15-27 is now in condition to pass to issue and such action is respectfully solicited.

Appln. No. 10/684,162
Amdt dated February 10, 2005
Reply to Office Action dated January 4, 2005

Enclosed is a check in the amount of \$130.00 covering the fee for the filing of the terminal disclaimer. It is believed that no further charges or fees must be paid in connection with this reply. However, if any such charges or fees are due, the Assistant Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge our Deposit Account No. 10-1202.

Respectfully submitted,



James B. Raden
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 24,594
JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE
77 West Wacker
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 269-4340

February 10, 2005